

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicant No.	Applicant(s)
	09/905,519	NAKANO, SATOSHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873

All Participants: _____

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz. (3) _____.

(2) Donald Lucas. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Tucker reference

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed changes to claims 1 and 19 were suggested by the examiner to overcome the Tucker reference. Though applicant's representative made a diligent effort, he did not hear back from his client in a timely manner prompting this final rejection.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)